Package: powerMediation (via r-universe)

| August 24, 2024 |
|---|
| Version 0.3.4 |
| Date 2021-03-22 |
| Title Power/Sample Size Calculation for Mediation Analysis |
| Author Weiliang Qiu <weiliang.qiu@gmail.com></weiliang.qiu@gmail.com> |
| Maintainer Weiliang Qiu <weiliang.qiu@gmail.com></weiliang.qiu@gmail.com> |
| Depends R ($>= 3.5.0$), stats |
| Description Functions to calculate power and sample size for testing (1) mediation effects; (2) the slope in a simple linear regression; (3) odds ratio in a simple logistic regression; (4) mean change for longitudinal study with 2 time points; (5) interaction effect in 2-way ANOVA; and (6) the slope in a simple Poisson regression. |
| License GPL (>= 2) |
| Date/Publication 2021-03-23 14:20:02 UTC |
| NeedsCompilation no |
| Repository https://weiliang.r-universe.dev |
| RemoteUrl https://github.com/cran/powerMediation |
| RemoteRef HEAD |
| RemoteSha eb7aba4e116c6d0a1bc28225b02eb4257434cc34 |
| Contents |
| minEffect.SLR 2 minEffect.VSMc 4 minEffect.VSMc.cox 5 minEffect.VSMc.logistic 7 minEffect.VSMc.poisson 9 power.SLR 11 power.SLR.rho 12 powerInteract2by2 13 power.SLR.rho 15 |
| powerLogisticBin |

2 minEffect.SLR

| powerLogisticCon | 17 |
|------------------------------|----|
| powerLong | |
| powerLong.multiTime | |
| powerLongFull | |
| powerMediation.Sobel | |
| powerMediation.VSMc | |
| powerMediation.VSMc.cox | |
| powerMediation.VSMc.logistic | |
| powerMediation.VSMc.poisson | |
| powerPoisson | |
| sizePoisson | |
| ss.SLR | |
| ss.SLR.rho | |
| SSizeLogisticBin | |
| SSizeLogisticCon | |
| ssLong | |
| ssLong.multiTime | |
| ssLongFull | |
| Č | |
| ssMediation.Sobel | |
| ssMediation.VSMc | |
| ssMediation.VSMc.cox | |
| ssMediation.VSMc.logistic | |
| ssMediation.VSMc.poisson | |
| testMediation.Sobel | 56 |
| Index | 58 |

minEffect.SLR

Minimum detectable slope

Description

Calculate minimal detectable slope given sample size and power for simple linear regression.

Usage

minEffect.SLR 3

Arguments

| n | sample size. |
|---------|--|
| power | power for testing if $\lambda=0$ for the simple linear regression $y_i=\gamma+\lambda x_i+\epsilon_i, \epsilon_i\sim N(0,\sigma_e^2).$ |
| sigma.x | standard deviation of the predictor $sd(x) = \sigma_x$. |
| sigma.y | marginal standard deviation of the outcome $sd(y)=\sigma_y.$ (not the conditional standard deviation $sd(y x))$ |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing minimum absolute detectable effect; FALSE means not printing minimum absolute detectable effect. |

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $\lambda=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\lambda\neq0$ for the simple linear regressions:

$$y_i = \gamma + \lambda x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Value

lambda.a minimum absolute detectable effect.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal minimum absolute detectable effect.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Dupont, W.D. and Plummer, W.D.. Power and Sample Size Calculations for Studies Involving Linear Regression. *Controlled Clinical Trials*. 1998;19:589-601.

See Also

```
power.SLR, power.SLR.rho, ss.SLR, ss.SLR.rho.
```

```
minEffect.SLR(n = 100, power = 0.8, sigma.x = 0.2, sigma.y = 0.5, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

4 minEffect.VSMc

| minEffect.VSMc | Minimum detectable slope for mediator in linear regression based on |
|----------------|---|
| | Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method |

Description

Calculate minimal detectable slope for mediator given sample size and power in simple linear regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| n | sample size. |
|---------|---|
| power | power for testing $b_2=0$ for the linear regression $y_i=b0+b1x_i+b2m_i+\epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i\sim N(0,\sigma_e^2)$. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| sigma.e | standard deviation of the random error term in the linear regression $y_i = b0 + b1x_i + b2m_i + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$. |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing minimum absolute detectable effect; FALSE means not printing minimum absolute detectable effect. |

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the linear regressions:

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above linear regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2\neq 0$, if the correlation corr.xm between the primary predictor and mediator is non-zero.

The full model is

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

The reduced model is

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

minEffect.VSMc.cox 5

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

b2 minimum absolute detectable effect.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
powerMediation.VSMc, ssMediation.VSMc
```

Examples

```
# example in section 3 (page 544) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009).
# minimum effect is =0.1
minEffect.VSMc(n = 863, power = 0.8, sigma.m = 1,
    sigma.e = 1, corr.xm = 0.3, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

minEffect.VSMc.cox

Minimum detectable slope for mediator in cox regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate minimal detectable slope for mediator given sample size and power in cox regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

6 minEffect.VSMc.cox

Usage

Arguments

n sample size.

power power for testing $b_2 = 0$ for the cox regression $\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b1x_i + b2m_i$,

where λ is the hazard function and λ_0 is the baseline hazard function.

sigma.m standard deviation of the mediator.

psi the probability that an observation is uncensored, so that the number of event

d = n * psi, where n is the sample size.

corr.xm correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m.

alpha type I error rate.

verbose logical. TRUE means printing minimum absolute detectable effect; FALSE means

not printing minimum absolute detectable effect.

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the cox regressions:

$$\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above cox regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2 \neq 0$, if the correlation corr.xm between the primary predictor and mediator is non-zero.

The full model is

$$\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

The reduced model is

$$\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b_1 x_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

b2 minimum absolute detectable effect.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
powerMediation.VSMc.cox, ssMediation.VSMc.cox
```

Examples

```
# example in section 6 (page 547) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009).
# minimum effect is = log(1.5) = 0.4054651

minEffect.VSMc.cox(n = 1399, power = 0.7999916,
    sigma.m = sqrt(0.25 * (1 - 0.25)), psi = 0.2, corr.xm = 0.3,
    alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

```
minEffect.VSMc.logistic
```

Minimum detectable slope for mediator in logistic regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate minimal detectable slope for mediator given sample size and power in logistic regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

n sample size.

power power for testing $b_2 = 0$ for the logistic regression $\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b0$

 $b1x_i + b2m_i$.

sigma.m standard deviation of the mediator.

p the marginal prevalence of the outcome.

corr.xm correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m.

alpha type I error rate.

verbose logical. TRUE means printing minimum absolute detectable effect; FALSE means

not printing minimum absolute detectable effect.

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the logistic regressions:

$$\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above logistic regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2 \neq 0$, if the correlation corr.xm between the primary predictor and mediator is non-zero.

The full model is

$$\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

The reduced model is

$$\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

b2 minimum absolute detectable effect.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
power Mediation. VSMc. logistic, ssMediation. VSMc. logistic \\
```

Examples

```
# example in section 4 (page 545) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009).
# minimum effect is log(1.5)= 0.4054651

minEffect.VSMc.logistic(n = 255, power = 0.8, sigma.m = 1,
    p = 0.5, corr.xm = 0.5, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

```
minEffect.VSMc.poisson
```

Minimum detectable slope for mediator in poisson regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate minimal detectable slope for mediator given sample size and power in poisson regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| n | sample size. |
|---------|---|
| power | power for testing $b_2=0$ for the poisson regression $\log(E(Y_i))=b0+b1x_i+b2m_i$. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| EY | the marginal mean of the outcome |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing minimum absolute detectable effect; FALSE means not printing minimum absolute detectable effect. |

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the poisson regressions:

$$\log(E(Y_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above poisson regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2\neq 0$, if the correlation corr.xm between the primary predictor and mediator is non-zero.

The full model is

$$\log(E(Y_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

The reduced model is

$$\log(E(Y_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

b2 minimum absolute detectable effect.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

powerMediation.VSMc.poisson, ssMediation.VSMc.poisson

```
# example in section 5 (page 546) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009). # minimum effect is = log(1.35) = 0.3001046 minEffect.VSMc.poisson(n = 1239, power = 0.7998578, sigma.m = sqrt(0.25 * (1 - 0.25)), EY = 0.5, corr.xm = 0.5, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

power.SLR 11

| power . st | ower.SLR |
|------------|----------|
|------------|----------|

Power for testing slope for simple linear regression

Description

Calculate power for testing slope for simple linear regression.

Usage

Arguments

| n | sample size. |
|----------|--|
| lambda.a | regression coefficient in the simple linear regression $y_i=\gamma+\lambda x_i+\epsilon_i,\epsilon_i\sim N(0,\sigma_e^2).$ |
| sigma.x | standard deviation of the predictor $sd(x)$. |
| sigma.y | marginal standard deviation of the outcome $sd(y).$ (not the marginal standard deviation $sd(y\vert x))$ |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing power; FALSE means not printing power. |

Details

The power is for testing the null hypothesis $\lambda=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\lambda\neq 0$ for the simple linear regressions:

$$y_i = \gamma + \lambda x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Value

| power | power for testing if $b_2 = 0$. |
|-------|--|
| delta | $\lambda \sigma_x \sqrt{n} / \sqrt{\sigma_y^2 - (\lambda \sigma_x)^2}.$ |
| S | $\sqrt{\sigma_y^2-(\lambda\sigma_x)^2}.$ |
| t.cr | $\Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha/2),$ where Φ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution. |
| rho | correlation between the predictor x and outcome $y = \lambda \sigma_x / \sigma_y$. |

power.SLR.rho

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Dupont, W.D. and Plummer, W.D.. Power and Sample Size Calculations for Studies Involving Linear Regression. *Controlled Clinical Trials*. 1998;19:589-601.

See Also

```
minEffect.SLR, power.SLR.rho, ss.SLR.rho, ss.SLR.
```

Examples

```
power.SLR(n=100, lambda.a=0.8, sigma.x=0.2, sigma.y=0.5,
  alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

power.SLR.rho

Power for testing slope for simple linear regression

Description

Calculate power for testing slope for simple linear regression.

Usage

Arguments

n sample size.

rho2 square of the correlation between the outcome and the predictor.

alpha type I error rate.

verbose logical. TRUE means printing power; FALSE means not printing power.

powerInteract2by2

Details

The power is for testing the null hypothesis $\lambda = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\lambda \neq 0$ for the simple linear regressions:

$$y_i = \gamma + \lambda x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Value

power power for testing if $b_2=0$. delta $\sqrt{n}/\sqrt{1/\rho^2-1}.$

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Dupont, W.D. and Plummer, W.D.. Power and Sample Size Calculations for Studies Involving Linear Regression. *Controlled Clinical Trials*. 1998;19:589-601.

See Also

minEffect.SLR, power.SLR, ss.SLR.rho, ss.SLR.

Examples

```
power.SLR.rho(n=100, rho2=0.6, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

powerInteract2by2 Power Calculation for In

Power Calculation for Interaction Effect in 2x2 Two-Way ANOVA Given Effect Sizes

Description

Power calculation for interaction effect in 2x2 two-way ANOVA given effect sizes.

Usage

```
powerInteract2by2(n, tauBetaSigma, alpha = 0.05, nTests = 1, verbose = FALSE)
```

14 powerInteract2by2

Arguments

integer. Number of subjects per group.

Effect sizes $(\tau \beta)_{ij}/\sigma, i=1,\ldots,a, j=1,\ldots,b$, where a=b=2 and σ is the tauBetaSigma

standard deviation of random error. Rows are for factor 1 and columns are for factor 2. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^a (\tau \beta)_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^b (\tau \beta)_{ij} = 0$. We can get $(\tau \beta)_{11} = \theta$, $(\tau \beta)_{12} = -\theta$, $(\tau \beta)_{21} = -\theta$, $(\tau \beta)_{22} = \theta$. So tauBetaSigma= θ/σ

family-wise type I error rate. alpha

integer. For high-throughput omics study, we perform two-way ANOVA for nTests

> each of 'nTests' probes. We use Bonferroni correction to control for familywise type I error rate. That is, for each probe, type I error rate would be

alpha/nTests.

verbose logical. Indicating if intermediate results should be printed out.

Details

We assume the following model:

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_j + (\tau \beta)_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk},$$

where
$$i = 1, ..., a, j = 1, ..., b, k = 1, ..., n, \sum_{i=1}^{a} \tau_i = 0, \sum_{j=1}^{b} \beta_j = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{a} (\tau \beta)_{ij} = 0, \sum_{j=1}^{a} (\tau \beta)_{ij} = 0, \text{ and } \epsilon_{ijk} \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N\left(0, \sigma^2\right).$$

The group means are

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_j + (\tau \beta)_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, a, j = 1, \dots, b.$$

Note that
$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij}/(ab)$$
, $\tau_i = \sum_{j=1}^b \mu_{ij}/b - \mu$, and $\beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^a \mu_{ij}/a - \mu$.

The null hypothesis H_0 : all $(\tau\beta)_{ij}$, $i=1,\ldots,a,j=1,\ldots,b$ are equal to zero. The alternative hypothesis H_a : at least one $(\tau \beta)_{ij}$ is different from zero.

The F test statistic is

$$F = MS_{AB}/MS_E \stackrel{H_a}{\sim} F_{(a-1)(b-1),ab(n-1),ncp},$$

where ncp is the non-centrality parameter of the F test statistic:

$$ncp = n \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \left[\frac{(\tau \beta)_{ij}}{\sigma} \right]^{2}.$$

For the scenario a=b=2, we have $(\tau\beta)_{11}=\theta$, $(\tau\beta)_{12}=-\theta$, $(\tau\beta)_{21}=-\theta$, $(\tau\beta)_{22}=\theta$. Hence, the non-centrality parameter can be simplified to

$$ncp = 4n \left(\frac{\theta}{\sigma}\right)^2.$$

The power for testing the null hypothesis H_0 versus the alternative hypothesis H_a is

$$power = Pr\left(F > F_0 | H_a\right),$$

where the rejection region boundary F_0 satisfies:

$$Pr(F > F_0|H_0) = \alpha/nTests.$$

powerLogisticBin 15

Value

A list with 5 elements:

| power | the power of the two-way ANOVA test |
|-------|--|
| df1 | the first degree of freedom of the F test statistic $(df1=(a-1)(b-1))$ |
| df2 | the second degree of freedom of the F test statistic (df1=a*b(n-1)) |
| FØ | the rejection region boundary |
| ncp | the non-centrality parameter |

Author(s)

```
Weiliang Qiu <weiliang.qiu@gmail.com>
```

References

Chow SC, Shao J, and Wang H. Sample size calculations in clinical research. 2nd edition. Chapman & Hall/CRC. 2008

Montgomery DC. Design and Analysis of Experiments. 8th edition. John Wiley & Sons. Inc.

Examples

powerLogisticBin

Calculating power for simple logistic regression with binary predictor

Description

Calculating power for simple logistic regression with binary predictor.

Usage

16 powerLogisticBin

Arguments

| n | total number of sample size. |
|-------|--|
| p1 | pr(diseased X=0), i.e. the event rate at $X=0$ in logistic regression $logit(p)=a+bX$, where X is the binary predictor. |
| p2 | pr(diseased X=1), the event rate at $X=1$ in logistic regression $logit(p)=a+bX$, where X is the binary predictor. |
| В | pr(X=1), i.e. proportion of the sample with $X=1$ |
| alpha | Type I error rate. |

Details

The logistic regression mode is

$$\log(p/(1-p)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

where p = prob(Y = 1), X is the binary predictor, $p_1 = pr(diseased|X = 0)$, $p_2 = pr(diseased|X = 1)$, B = pr(X = 1), and $p = (1 - B)p_1 + Bp_2$. The sample size formula we used for testing if $\beta_1 = 0$, is Formula (2) in Hsieh et al. (1998):

$$n = (Z_{1-\alpha/2}[p(1-p)/B]^{1/2} + Z_{power}[p_1(1-p_1) + p_2(1-p_2)(1-B)/B]^{1/2})^2 / [(p_1-p_2)^2(1-B)]$$

where n is the required total sample size and Z_u is the u-th percentile of the standard normal distribution.

Value

Estimated power.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Hsieh, FY, Bloch, DA, and Larsen, MD. A SIMPLE METHOD OF SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION FOR LINEAR AND LOGISTIC REGRESSION. *Statistics in Medicine*. 1998; 17:1623-1634.

See Also

powerLogisticBin

powerLogisticCon 17

Examples

```
## Example in Table I Design (Balanced design with high event rates)
## of Hsieh et al. (1998 )
## the power = 0.95
powerLogisticBin(n = 1281, p1 = 0.4, p2 = 0.5, B = 0.5, alpha = 0.05)
```

powerLogisticCon

Calculating power for simple logistic regression with continuous predictor

Description

Calculating power for simple logistic regression with continuous predictor.

Usage

Arguments

n total sample size. p1 the event rate at the mean of the continuous predictor X in logistic regression logit(p) = a + bX.
OR Expected odds ratio. log(OR) is the change in log odds for the difference be-

tween at the mean of X and at one SD above the mean.

alpha Type I error rate.

Details

The logistic regression mode is

$$\log(p/(1-p)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

where p = prob(Y = 1), X is the continuous predictor, and log(OR) is the change in log odds for the difference between at the mean of X and at one SD above the mean. The sample size formula we used for testing if $\beta_1 = 0$ or equivalently OR = 1, is Formula (1) in Hsieh et al. (1998):

$$n = (Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{power})^2/[p_1(1-p_1)[log(OR)]^2]$$

where n is the required total sample size, OR is the odds ratio to be tested, p_1 is the event rate at the mean of the predictor X, and Z_u is the u-th percentile of the standard normal distribution.

Value

Estimated power.

18 powerLong

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Hsieh, FY, Bloch, DA, and Larsen, MD. A SIMPLE METHOD OF SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION FOR LINEAR AND LOGISTIC REGRESSION. *Statistics in Medicine*. 1998; 17:1623-1634.

See Also

```
SSizeLogisticCon
```

Examples

```
## Example in Table II Design (Balanced design (1)) of Hsieh et al. (1998 )
## the power is 0.95
powerLogisticCon(n=317, p1=0.5, OR=exp(0.405), alpha=0.05)
```

powerLong

Power calculation for longitudinal study with 2 time point

Description

Power calculation for testing if mean changes for 2 groups are the same or not for longitudinal study with 2 time point.

Usage

Arguments

es effect size of the difference of mean change.

n sample size per group.

rho correlation coefficient between baseline and follow-up values within a treatment

group.

alpha Type I error rate.

powerLong 19

Details

The power formula is based on Equation 8.31 on page 336 of Rosner (2006).

$$power = \Phi\left(-Z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{\delta\sqrt{n}}{\sigma_d\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

where $\sigma_d = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2$, $\delta = |\mu_1 - \mu_2|$, μ_1 is the mean change over time t in group 1, μ_2 is the mean change over time t in group 2, σ_1^2 is the variance of baseline values within a treatment group, σ_2^2 is the variance of follow-up values within a treatment group, ρ is the correlation coefficient between baseline and follow-up values within a treatment group, and Z_u is the u-th percentile of the standard normal distribution.

We wish to test $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

When $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma$, then formula reduces to

$$power = \Phi\left(-Z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{|d|\sqrt{n}}{2\sqrt{1-\rho}}\right)$$

where $d = \delta/\sigma$.

Value

power for testing for difference of mean changes.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Rosner, B. Fundamentals of Biostatistics. Sixth edition. Thomson Brooks/Cole. 2006.

See Also

```
ssLong, ssLongFull, powerLongFull.
```

```
# Example 8.34 on page 336 of Rosner (2006)
# power=0.75
powerLong(es=5/15, n=75, rho=0.7, alpha=0.05)
```

20 powerLong.multiTime

powerLong.multiTime

Power calculation for testing if mean changes for 2 groups are the same or not for longitudinal study with more than 2 time points

Description

Power calculation for testing if mean changes for 2 groups are the same or not for longitudinal study with more than 2 time points.

Usage

```
powerLong.multiTime(es, m, nn, sx2, rho = 0.5, alpha = 0.05)
```

Arguments

es effect size

m number of subjects

nn number of observations per subject

sx2 within subject variance rho within subject correlation

alpha type I error rate

Details

We are interested in comparing the slopes of the 2 groups A and B:

$$\beta_{1A} = \beta_{1B}$$

where

$$Y_{ijA} = \beta_{0A} + \beta_{1A} x_{jA} + \epsilon_{ijA}, j = 1, \dots, nn; i = 1, \dots, m$$

and

$$Y_{ijB} = \beta_{0B} + \beta_{1B}x_{jB} + \epsilon_{ijB}, j = 1, \dots, nn; i = 1, \dots, m$$

The power calculation formula is (Equation on page 30 of Diggle et al. (1994)):

$$power = \Phi \left[-z_{1-\alpha} + \sqrt{\frac{mnns_x^2 es^2}{2(1-\rho)}} \right]$$

where $es = d/\sigma$, d is the meaninful difference of interest, $sigma^2$ is the variance of the random error, ρ is the within-subject correlation, and s_x^2 is the within-subject variance.

Value

power

powerLongFull 21

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Diggle PJ, Liang KY, and Zeger SL (1994). Analysis of Longitundinal Data. page 30. Clarendon Press, Oxford

See Also

```
ssLong.multiTime
```

Examples

```
# power=0.8
powerLong.multiTime(es=0.5/10, m=196, nn=3, sx2=4.22, rho = 0.5, alpha = 0.05)
```

powerLongFull

Power calculation for longitudinal study with 2 time point

Description

Power calculation for testing if mean changes for 2 groups are the same or not for longitudinal study with 2 time point.

Usage

Arguments

| delta | absolute difference of the mean changes between the two groups: $\delta = \mu_1 - \mu_2 $ where μ_1 is the mean change over time t in group 1, μ_2 is the mean change over time t in group 2. |
|--------|--|
| sigma1 | the standard deviation of baseline values within a treatment group |
| sigma2 | the standard deviation of follow-up values within a treatment group |
| n | sample size per group |

22 powerLongFull

rho correlation coefficient between baseline and follow-up values within a treatment group.

alpha Type I error rate.

Details

The power formula is based on Equation 8.31 on page 336 of Rosner (2006).

$$power = \Phi\left(-Z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{\delta\sqrt{n}}{\sigma_d\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

where $\sigma_d = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2$, $\delta = |\mu_1 - \mu_2|$, μ_1 is the mean change over time t in group 1, μ_2 is the mean change over time t in group 2, σ_1^2 is the variance of baseline values within a treatment group, σ_2^2 is the variance of follow-up values within a treatment group, ρ is the correlation coefficient between baseline and follow-up values within a treatment group, and Z_u is the u-th percentile of the standard normal distribution.

We wish to test $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

Value

power for testing for difference of mean changes.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Rosner, B. Fundamentals of Biostatistics. Sixth edition. Thomson Brooks/Cole. 2006.

See Also

```
ssLong, ssLongFull, powerLong.
```

```
# Example 8.33 on page 336 of Rosner (2006)
# power=0.80
powerLongFull(delta=5, sigma1=15, sigma2=15, n=85, rho=0.7, alpha=0.05)
```

powerMediation.Sobel 23

powerMediation.Sobel Power for testing mediation effect (Sobel's test)

Description

Calculate power for testing mediation effect based on Sobel's test.

Usage

Arguments

| n | sample size. |
|---------------|---|
| theta.1a | regression coefficient for the predictor in the linear regression linking the predictor x to the mediator m ($m_i = \theta_0 + \theta_{1a} x_i + e_i, e_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$). |
| lambda.a | regression coefficient for the mediator in the linear regression linking the predictor x and the mediator m to the outcome y ($y_i = \gamma + \lambda_a m_i + \lambda_2 x_i + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$). |
| sigma.x | standard deviation of the predictor. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| sigma.epsilon | standard deviation of the random error term in the linear regression linking the predictor x and the mediator m to the outcome y ($y_i = \gamma + \lambda_a m_i + \lambda_2 x_i + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$). |
| alpha | type I error. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing power; FALSE means not printing power. |

Details

The power is for testing the null hypothesis $\theta_1 \lambda = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\theta_{1a} \lambda_a \neq 0$ for the linear regressions:

$$m_i = \theta_0 + \theta_{1a}x_i + e_i, e_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$
$$y_i = \gamma + \lambda_a m_i + \lambda_2 x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$$

Test statistic is based on Sobel's (1982) test:

$$Z = \frac{\hat{\theta}_{1a}\hat{\lambda_a}}{\hat{\sigma}_{\theta_{1a}\lambda_a}}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{\theta_{1a}\lambda_a}$ is the estimated standard deviation of the estimate $\hat{\theta}_{1a}\hat{\lambda}_a$ using multivariate delta method:

$$\sigma_{\theta_{1a}\lambda_a} = \sqrt{\theta_{1a}^2 \sigma_{\lambda_a}^2 + \lambda_a^2 \sigma_{\theta_{1a}}^2}$$

and $\sigma_{\theta_{1a}}^2 = \sigma_e^2/(n\sigma_x^2)$ is the variance of the estimate $\hat{\theta}_{1a}$, and $\sigma_{\lambda_a}^2 = \sigma_\epsilon^2/(n\sigma_m^2(1-\rho_{mx}^2))$ is the variance of the estimate $\hat{\lambda_a}$, σ_m^2 is the variance of the mediator m_i .

From the linear regression $m_i = \theta_0 + \theta_{1a}x_i + e_i$, we have the relationship $\sigma_e^2 = \sigma_m^2(1 - \rho_{mx}^2)$. Hence, we can simply the variance $\sigma_{\theta_{1a},\lambda_a}$ to

$$\sigma_{\theta_{1a}\lambda_a} = \sqrt{\theta_{1a}^2 \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{n\sigma_m^2 (1 - \rho_{mx}^2)} + \lambda_a^2 \frac{\sigma_m^2 (1 - \rho_{mx}^2)}{n\sigma_x^2}}$$

Value

power of the test for the parameter $\theta_{1a}\lambda_a$

delta $heta_1 \lambda/(sd(\hat{ heta}_{1a})sd(\hat{\lambda}_a))$

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Sobel, M. E. Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociological Methodology*. 1982;13:290-312.

See Also

```
{\tt ssMediation.Sobel}, {\tt testMediation.Sobel}
```

```
powerMediation.Sobel(n=248, theta.1a=0.1701, lambda.a=0.1998,
    sigma.x=0.57, sigma.m=0.61, sigma.epsilon=0.2,
    alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

powerMediation.VSMc 25

powerMediation.VSMc Power for testing mediation effect in linear regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate Power for testing mediation effect in linear regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| n | sample size. |
|---------|---|
| b2 | regression coefficient for the mediator m in the linear regression $y_i = b0 + b1x_i + b2m_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| sigma.e | standard deviation of the random error term in the linear regression $y_i = b0 + b1x_i + b2m_i + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$. |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing power; FALSE means not printing power. |

Details

The power is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the linear regressions:

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above linear regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2 \neq 0$. The full model is

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

The reduced model is

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

| power | power for testing if $b_2 = 0$. |
|-------|---|
| delta | $b_2\sigma_m\sqrt{1-\rho_{xm}^2}/\sigma_e$, where σ_m is the standard deviation of the mediator m , ρ_{xm} is the correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m , and σ_e is the |
| | standard deviation of the random error term in the linear regression. |

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
minEffect.VSMc, ssMediation.VSMc
```

Examples

```
# example in section 3 (page 544) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009).
# power=0.8
powerMediation.VSMc(n = 863, b2 = 0.1, sigma.m = 1, sigma.e = 1,
    corr.xm = 0.3, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

powerMediation.VSMc.cox

Power for testing mediation effect in cox regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate Power for testing mediation effect in cox regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and Mc-Culloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| n | sample size. |
|---------|---|
| b2 | regression coefficient for the mediator m in the cox regression $\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b1x_i + b2m_i$, where λ is the hazard function and λ_0 is the baseline hazard function. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| psi | the probability that an observation is uncensored, so that the number of event $d=n*psi$, where n is the sample size. |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing power; FALSE means not printing power. |

Details

The power is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the cox regressions:

$$\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

where λ is the hazard function and λ_0 is the baseline hazard function.

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above cox regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2 \neq 0$.

The full model is

$$\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

The reduced model is

$$\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b_1 x_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

power power for testing if $b_2=0$. delta $b_2\sigma_m\sqrt{(1-\rho_{xm}^2)psi}$

, where σ_m is the standard deviation of the mediator m, ρ_{xm} is the correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m, and psi is the probability that an observation is uncensored, so that the number of event d = n * psi, where n is the sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
minEffect.VSMc.cox, ssMediation.VSMc.cox
```

Examples

```
# example in section 6 (page 547) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009). # power = 0.7999916 powerMediation.VSMc.cox(n = 1399, b2 = \log(1.5), sigma.m = \operatorname{sqrt}(0.25 * (1 - 0.25)), psi = 0.2, corr.xm = 0.3, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

```
powerMediation.VSMc.logistic
```

Power for testing mediation effect in logistic regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate Power for testing mediation effect in logistic regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| n | sample size. |
|---------|---|
| b2 | regression coefficient for the mediator m in the logistic regression $\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b0 + b1x_i + b2m_i$. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| p | the marginal prevalence of the outcome. |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing power; FALSE means not printing power. |

Details

The power is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the logistic regressions:

$$\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b0 + b1x_i + b2m_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above logistic regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2\neq 0$. The full model is

$$\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

The reduced model is

$$\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

| power | power for testing if $b_2 = 0$. |
|-------|---|
| delta | $b_2 \sigma_m \sqrt{(1-\rho_{rm}^2)p(1-p)}$ |

, where σ_m is the standard deviation of the mediator m, ρ_{xm} is the correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m, and p is the marginal prevalence of the outcome.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
minEffect.VSMc.logistic, ssMediation.VSMc.logistic
```

Examples

```
# example in section 4 (page 545) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009).
# power = 0.8005793
powerMediation.VSMc.logistic(n = 255, b2 = log(1.5), sigma.m = 1,
    p = 0.5, corr.xm = 0.5, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

 $power {\tt Mediation.VSMc.poisson}$

Power for testing mediation effect in poisson regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate Power for testing mediation effect in poisson regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| n | sample size. |
|---------|--|
| b2 | regression coefficient for the mediator m in the poisson regression $\log(E(Y_i))=b0+b1x_i+b2m_i.$ |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| EY | the marginal mean of the outcome. |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing power; FALSE means not printing power. |

Details

The power is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the poisson regressions:

$$\log(E(Y_i)) = b0 + b1x_i + b2m_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above poisson regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2 \neq 0$.

The full model is

$$\log(E(Y_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

The reduced model is

$$\log(E(Y_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

power power for testing if $b_2=0$. delta $b_2\sigma_m\sqrt{(1-\rho_{xm}^2)EY}$

, where σ_m is the standard deviation of the mediator m, ρ_{xm} is the correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m, and EY is the marginal mean of the outcome.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
minEffect.VSMc.poisson, ssMediation.VSMc.poisson
```

```
# example in section 5 (page 546) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009).
# power = 0.7998578
powerMediation.VSMc.poisson(n = 1239, b2 = log(1.35),
    sigma.m = sqrt(0.25 * (1 - 0.25)), EY = 0.5, corr.xm = 0.5,
    alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

powerPoisson

powerPoisson

Power calculation for simple Poisson regression

Description

Power calculation for simple Poisson regression. Assume the predictor is normally distributed.

Usage

```
powerPoisson(
    beta0,
    beta1,
    mu.x1,
    sigma2.x1,
    mu.T = 1,
    phi = 1,
    alpha = 0.05,
    N = 50)
```

Arguments

| beta0 | intercept |
|-----------|------------------------------|
| beta1 | slope |
| mu.x1 | mean of the predictor |
| sigma2.x1 | variance of the predictor |
| mu.T | mean exposure time |
| phi | a measure of over-dispersion |
| alpha | type I error rate |
| N | toal sample size |

Details

The simple Poisson regression has the following form:

$$Pr(Y_i = y_i | \mu_i, t_i) = \exp(-\mu_i t_i)(\mu_i t_i)^{y_i}/(y_i!)$$

where

$$\mu_i = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i})$$

We are interested in testing the null hypothesis $\beta_1=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\beta_1=\theta_1$. Assume x_1 is normally distributed with mean μ_{x_1} and variance $\sigma_{x_1}^2$. The sample size calculation formula derived by Signorini (1991) is

$$N = \phi \frac{\left[z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{V\left(b_{1} | \beta_{1} = 0\right)} + z_{power} \sqrt{V\left(b_{1} | \beta_{1} = \theta_{1}\right)}\right]^{2}}{\mu_{T} \exp(\beta_{0}) \theta_{1}^{2}}$$

powerPoisson 33

where ϕ is the over-dispersion parameter $(=var(y_i)/mean(y_i))$, α is the type I error rate, b_1 is the estimate of the slope β_1 , β_0 is the intercept, μ_T is the mean exposure time, z_a is the 100*a-th lower percentile of the standard normal distribution, and $V(b_1|\beta_1=\theta)$ is the variance of the estimate b_1 given the true slope $\beta_1=\theta$.

The variances are

$$V(b_1|\beta_1 = 0) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{x_1}^2}$$

and

$$V(b_1|\beta_1 = \theta_1) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{x_1}^2} \exp\left[-\left(\theta_1 \mu_{x_1} + \theta_1^2 \sigma_{x_1}^2 / 2\right)\right]$$

Value

power

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Signorini D.F. (1991). Sample size for Poisson regression. Biometrika. Vol.78. no.2, pp. 446-50

See Also

See Also as sizePoisson

```
# power = 0.8090542
print(powerPoisson(
    beta0 = 0.1,
    beta1 = 0.5,
    mu.x1 = 0,
    sigma2.x1 = 1,
    mu.T = 1,
    phi = 1,
    alpha = 0.05,
    N = 28))
```

34 sizePoisson

sizePoisson

Sample size calculation for simple Poisson regression

Description

Sample size calculation for simple Poisson regression. Assume the predictor is normally distributed. Two-sided test is used.

Usage

```
sizePoisson(
    beta0,
    beta1,
    mu.x1,
    sigma2.x1,
    mu.T = 1,
    phi = 1,
    alpha = 0.05,
    power = 0.8)
```

Arguments

| beta0 | intercept |
|-----------|------------------------------|
| beta1 | slope |
| mu.x1 | mean of the predictor |
| sigma2.x1 | variance of the predictor |
| mu.T | mean exposure time |
| phi | a measure of over-dispersion |
| alpha | type I error rate |
| power | power |

Details

The simple Poisson regression has the following form:

$$Pr(Y_i = y_i | \mu_i, t_i) = \exp(-\mu_i t_i) (\mu_i t_i)^{y_i} / (y_i!)$$

where

$$\mu_i = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i})$$

We are interested in testing the null hypothesis $\beta_1=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\beta_1=\theta_1$. Assume x_1 is normally distributed with mean μ_{x_1} and variance $\sigma_{x_1}^2$. The sample size calculation formula derived by Signorini (1991) is

$$N = \phi \frac{\left[z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{V\left(b_{1} | \beta_{1} = 0\right)} + z_{power} \sqrt{V\left(b_{1} | \beta_{1} = \theta_{1}\right)}\right]^{2}}{\mu_{T} \exp(\beta_{0}) \theta_{1}^{2}}$$

sizePoisson 35

where ϕ is the over-dispersion parameter $(=var(y_i)/mean(y_i))$, α is the type I error rate, b_1 is the estimate of the slope β_1 , β_0 is the intercept, μ_T is the mean exposure time, z_a is the 100*a-th lower percentile of the standard normal distribution, and $V(b_1|\beta_1=\theta)$ is the variance of the estimate b_1 given the true slope $\beta_1=\theta$.

The variances are

$$V(b_1|\beta_1 = 0) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{x_1}^2}$$

and

$$V(b_1|\beta_1 = \theta_1) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{x_1}^2} \exp\left[-\left(\theta_1 \mu_{x_1} + \theta_1^2 \sigma_{x_1}^2 / 2\right)\right]$$

Value

total sample size

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Signorini D.F. (1991). Sample size for Poisson regression. Biometrika. Vol.78. no.2, pp. 446-50

See Also

See Also as powerPoisson

```
# sample size = 28
print(sizePoisson(
  beta0 = 0.1,
  beta1 = 0.5,
  mu.x1 = 0,
  sigma2.x1 = 1,
  mu.T = 1,
  phi = 1,
  alpha = 0.05,
  power = 0.8))
```

36 ss.SLR

ss.SLR

Sample size for testing slope for simple linear regression

Description

Calculate sample size for testing slope for simple linear regression.

Usage

Arguments

| power | power for testing if $\lambda=0$ for the simple linear regression $y_i=\gamma+\lambda x_i+\epsilon_i,\epsilon_i\sim N(0,\sigma_e^2).$ |
|----------|---|
| lambda.a | regression coefficient in the simple linear regression $y_i=\gamma+\lambda x_i+\epsilon_i,\epsilon_i\sim N(0,\sigma_e^2).$ |
| sigma.x | standard deviation of the predictor $sd(x)$. |
| sigma.y | marginal standard deviation of the outcome $sd(y).$ (not the marginal standard deviation $sd(y x)$) |
| n.lower | lower bound for the sample size. |
| n.upper | upper bound for the sample size. |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing sample size; FALSE means not printing sample size. |

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $\lambda=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\lambda\neq0$ for the simple linear regressions:

$$y_i = \gamma + \lambda x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Value

n sample size.
res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

ss.SLR.rho 37

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Dupont, W.D. and Plummer, W.D.. Power and Sample Size Calculations for Studies Involving Linear Regression. *Controlled Clinical Trials*. 1998;19:589-601.

See Also

```
minEffect.SLR, power.SLR.rho, ss.SLR.rho.
```

Examples

```
ss.SLR(power=0.8, lambda.a=0.8, sigma.x=0.2, sigma.y=0.5, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

ss.SLR.rho

Sample size for testing slope for simple linear regression based on R2

Description

Calculate sample size for testing slope for simple linear regression based on R2.

Usage

Arguments

| power | power. |
|---------|---|
| rho2 | square of the correlation between the outcome and the predictor. |
| n.lower | lower bound of the sample size. |
| n.upper | upper bound o the sample size. |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing sample size; FALSE means not printing sample size. |

38 SSizeLogisticBin

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $\lambda=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\lambda\neq0$ for the simple linear regressions:

$$y_i = \gamma + \lambda x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Value

n sample size.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Dupont, W.D. and Plummer, W.D.. Power and Sample Size Calculations for Studies Involving Linear Regression. *Controlled Clinical Trials*. 1998;19:589-601.

See Also

```
minEffect.SLR, power.SLR, power.SLR.rho, ss.SLR.
```

Examples

```
ss.SLR.rho(power=0.8, rho2=0.6, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

SSizeLogisticBin

Calculating sample size for simple logistic regression with binary predictor

Description

Calculating sample size for simple logistic regression with binary predictor.

Usage

SSizeLogisticBin 39

Arguments

| p1 | pr(diseased X=0), i.e. the event rate at $X=0$ in logistic regression $logit(p)=a+bX$, where X is the binary predictor. |
|-------|--|
| p2 | pr(diseased X=1), the event rate at $X=1$ in logistic regression $logit(p)=a+bX$, where X is the binary predictor. |
| В | pr(X=1), i.e. proportion of the sample with $X=1$ |
| alpha | Type I error rate. |
| power | power for testing if the odds ratio is equal to one. |

Details

The logistic regression mode is

$$\log(p/(1-p)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

where p = prob(Y = 1), X is the binary predictor, $p_1 = pr(diseased|X = 0)$, $p_2 = pr(diseased|X = 1)$, B = pr(X = 1), and $p = (1 - B)p_1 + Bp_2$. The sample size formula we used for testing if $\beta_1 = 0$, is Formula (2) in Hsieh et al. (1998):

$$n = (Z_{1-\alpha/2}[p(1-p)/B]^{1/2} + Z_{power}[p_1(1-p_1) + p_2(1-p_2)(1-B)/B]^{1/2})^2 / [(p_1-p_2)^2(1-B)]$$

where n is the required total sample size and Z_u is the u-th percentile of the standard normal distribution.

Value

total sample size required.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Hsieh, FY, Bloch, DA, and Larsen, MD. A SIMPLE METHOD OF SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION FOR LINEAR AND LOGISTIC REGRESSION. *Statistics in Medicine*. 1998; 17:1623-1634.

See Also

powerLogisticBin

40 SSizeLogisticCon

Examples

```
## Example in Table I Design (Balanced design with high event rates)
## of Hsieh et al. (1998 )
## the sample size is 1281
SSizeLogisticBin(p1 = 0.4, p2 = 0.5, B = 0.5, alpha = 0.05, power = 0.95)
```

SSizeLogisticCon

Calculating sample size for simple logistic regression with continuous predictor

Description

Calculating sample size for simple logistic regression with continuous predictor.

Usage

```
SSizeLogisticCon(p1,
OR,
alpha = 0.05,
power = 0.8)
```

Arguments

| p1 | the event rate at the mean of the continuous predictor X in logistic regression $logit(p)=a+bX,$ |
|-------|---|
| OR | Expected odds ratio. $\log(OR)$ is the change in log odds for the difference between at the mean of X and at one SD above the mean. |
| alpha | Type I error rate. |
| power | power for testing if the odds ratio is equal to one. |

Details

The logistic regression mode is

$$\log(p/(1-p)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

where p = prob(Y = 1), X is the continuous predictor, and log(OR) is the change in log odds for the difference between at the mean of X and at one SD above the mean. The sample size formula we used for testing if $\beta_1 = 0$ or equivalently OR = 1, is Formula (1) in Hsieh et al. (1998):

$$n = (Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{power})^2 / [p_1(1-p_1)[log(OR)]^2]$$

where n is the required total sample size, OR is the odds ratio to be tested, p_1 is the event rate at the mean of the predictor X, and Z_u is the u-th percentile of the standard normal distribution.

Value

total sample size required.

ssLong 41

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Hsieh, FY, Bloch, DA, and Larsen, MD. A SIMPLE METHOD OF SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION FOR LINEAR AND LOGISTIC REGRESSION. *Statistics in Medicine*. 1998; 17:1623-1634.

See Also

```
powerLogisticCon
```

Examples

```
## Example in Table II Design (Balanced design (1)) of Hsieh et al. (1998) ## the sample size is 317 SSizeLogisticCon(p1 = 0.5, OR = exp(0.405), alpha = 0.05, power = 0.95)
```

ssLong

Sample size calculation for longitudinal study with 2 time point

Description

Sample size calculation for testing if mean changes for 2 groups are the same or not for longitudinal study with 2 time point.

Usage

Arguments

es effect size of the difference of mean change.

rho correlation coefficient between baseline and follow-up values within a treatment

group.

alpha Type I error rate.

power power for testing for difference of mean changes.

42 ssLong

Details

The sample size formula is based on Equation 8.30 on page 335 of Rosner (2006).

$$n = \frac{2\sigma_d^2 (Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{power})^2}{\delta^2}$$

where $\sigma_d = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2$, $\delta = |\mu_1 - \mu_2|$, μ_1 is the mean change over time t in group 1, μ_2 is the mean change over time t in group 2, σ_1^2 is the variance of baseline values within a treatment group, σ_2^2 is the variance of follow-up values within a treatment group, ρ is the correlation coefficient between baseline and follow-up values within a treatment group, and Z_u is the u-th percentile of the standard normal distribution.

We wish to test $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

When $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma$, then formula reduces to

$$n = \frac{4(1-\rho)(Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta})^2}{d^2}$$

where $d = \delta/\sigma$.

Value

required sample size per group

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Rosner, B. Fundamentals of Biostatistics. Sixth edition. Thomson Brooks/Cole. 2006.

See Also

ssLongFull, powerLong, powerLongFull.

```
# Example 8.33 on page 336 of Rosner (2006)
# n=85
ssLong(es=5/15, rho=0.7, alpha=0.05, power=0.8)
```

ssLong.multiTime 43

| ssLong.multiTime | Sample size calculation for testing if mean changes for 2 groups are |
|--|--|
| 3320116.1111111111111111111111111111111111 | the same or not for longitudinal study with more than 2 time points |

Description

Sample size calculation for testing if mean changes for 2 groups are the same or not for longitudinal study with more than 2 time points.

Usage

```
ssLong.multiTime(es, power, nn, sx2, rho = 0.5, alpha = 0.05)
```

Arguments

| es | effect size |
|-------|------------------------------------|
| power | power |
| nn | number of observations per subject |
| sx2 | within subject variance |
| rho | within subject correlation |
| alpha | type I error rate |

Details

We are interested in comparing the slopes of the 2 groups A and B:

$$\beta_{1A} = \beta_{1B}$$

where

$$Y_{ijA} = \beta_{0A} + \beta_{1A} x_{jA} + \epsilon_{ijA}, j = 1, \dots, nn; i = 1, \dots, m$$

and

$$Y_{ijB} = \beta_{0B} + \beta_{1B}x_{iB} + \epsilon_{ijB}, j = 1, \dots, nn; i = 1, \dots, m$$

The sample size calculation formula is (Equation on page 30 of Diggle et al. (1994)):

$$m = \frac{2(Z_{1-\alpha} + z_{power})^{2}(1-\rho)}{nns_{x}^{2}es^{2}}$$

where $es=d/\sigma$, d is the meaninful difference of interest, $sigma^2$ is the variance of the random error, ρ is the within-subject correlation, and s_x^2 is the within-subject variance.

Value

subject per group

44 ssLongFull

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Diggle PJ, Liang KY, and Zeger SL (1994). Analysis of Longitundinal Data. page 30. Clarendon Press, Oxford

See Also

```
powerLong.multiTime
```

Examples

```
# subject per group = 196
ssLong.multiTime(es=0.5/10, power=0.8, nn=3, sx2=4.22, rho = 0.5, alpha=0.05)
```

ssLongFull

Sample size calculation for longitudinal study with 2 time point

Description

Sample size calculation for testing if mean changes for 2 groups are the same or not for longitudinal study with 2 time point.

Usage

Arguments

| delta | absolute difference of the mean changes between the two groups: $\delta = \mu_1 - \mu_2 $ where μ_1 is the mean change over time t in group 1, μ_2 is the mean change over time t in group 2. |
|--------|--|
| sigma1 | the standard deviation of baseline values within a treatment group |
| sigma2 | the standard deviation of follow-up values within a treatment group |

ssLongFull 45

| rho | correlation coefficient between baseline and follow-up values within a treatment |
|-------|--|
| | group. |
| alpha | Type I error rate |
| power | power for testing for difference of mean changes. |

Details

The sample size formula is based on Equation 8.30 on page 335 of Rosner (2006).

$$n = \frac{2\sigma_d^2 (Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{power})^2}{\delta^2}$$

where $\sigma_d = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2$, $\delta = |\mu_1 - \mu_2|$, μ_1 is the mean change over time t in group 1, μ_2 is the mean change over time t in group 2, σ_1^2 is the variance of baseline values within a treatment group, σ_2^2 is the variance of follow-up values within a treatment group, ρ is the correlation coefficient between baseline and follow-up values within a treatment group, and Z_u is the u-th percentile of the standard normal distribution.

We wish to test $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

Value

required sample size per group

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Rosner, B. Fundamentals of Biostatistics. Sixth edition. Thomson Brooks/Cole. 2006.

See Also

ssLong, powerLong, powerLongFull.

```
# Example 8.33 on page 336 of Rosner (2006)
# n=85
ssLongFull(delta=5, sigma1=15, sigma2=15, rho=0.7, alpha=0.05, power=0.8)
```

46 ssMediation.Sobel

 ${\tt ssMediation.Sobel}$

Sample size for testing mediation effectd (Sobel's test)

Description

Calculate sample size for testing mediation effect based on Sobel's test.

Usage

Arguments

| power | power of the test. |
|---------------|---|
| theta.1a | regression coefficient for the predictor in the linear regression linking the predictor x to the mediator m ($m_i = \theta_0 + \theta_{1a} x_i + e_i, e_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$). |
| lambda.a | regression coefficient for the mediator in the linear regression linking the predictor x and the mediator m to the outcome y ($y_i = \gamma + \lambda_a m_i + \lambda_2 x_i + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$). |
| sigma.x | standard deviation of the predictor. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| sigma.epsilon | standard deviation of the random error term in the linear regression linking the predictor x and the mediator m to the outcome y ($y_i = \gamma + \lambda_a m_i + \lambda_2 x_i + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$). |
| n.lower | lower bound of the sample size. |
| n.upper | upper bound of the sample size. |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing power; FALSE means not printing power. |

Details

The sample size is for testing the null hypothesis $\theta_1\lambda=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\theta_{1a}\lambda_a\neq 0$ for the linear regressions:

$$m_i = \theta_0 + \theta_{1a}x_i + e_i, e_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

ssMediation.Sobel 47

$$y_i = \gamma + \lambda_a m_i + \lambda_2 x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$$

Test statistic is based on Sobel's (1982) test:

$$Z = \frac{\hat{\theta}_{1a}\hat{\lambda_a}}{\hat{\sigma}_{\theta_{1a}\hat{\lambda_a}}}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{\theta_{1a}\lambda_a}$ is the estimated standard deviation of the estimate $\hat{\theta}_{1a}\hat{\lambda_a}$ using multivariate delta method:

$$\sigma_{\theta_{1a}\lambda_a} = \sqrt{\theta_{1a}^2\sigma_{\lambda_a}^2 + \lambda_a^2\sigma_{\theta_{1a}}^2}$$

and $\sigma_{\theta_{1a}}^2 = \sigma_e^2/(n\sigma_x^2)$ is the variance of the estimate $\hat{\theta}_{1a}$, and $\sigma_{\lambda_a}^2 = \sigma_\epsilon^2/(n\sigma_m^2(1-\rho_{mx}^2))$ is the variance of the estimate $\hat{\lambda_a}$, σ_m^2 is the variance of the mediator m_i .

From the linear regression $m_i = \theta_0 + \theta_{1a}x_i + e_i$, we have the relationship $\sigma_e^2 = \sigma_m^2(1 - \rho_{mx}^2)$. Hence, we can simply the variance $\sigma_{\theta_{1a},\lambda_a}$ to

$$\sigma_{\theta_{1a}\lambda_a} = \sqrt{\theta_{1a}^2 \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{n\sigma_m^2(1-\rho_{mx}^2)} + \lambda_a^2 \frac{\sigma_m^2(1-\rho_{mx}^2)}{n\sigma_x^2}}$$

Value

n sample size.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Sobel, M. E. Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociological Methodology*. 1982;13:290-312.

See Also

powerMediation.Sobel, testMediation.Sobel

```
ssMediation.Sobel(power=0.8, theta.1a=0.1701, lambda.a=0.1998,
    sigma.x=0.57, sigma.m=0.61, sigma.epsilon=0.2,
    alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

48 ssMediation.VSMc

| ssMediation.VSMc | Sample size for testing mediation effect in linear regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method |
|------------------|---|
| | |

Description

Calculate sample size for testing mediation effect in linear regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| power | power for testing $b_2=0$ for the linear regression $y_i=b0+b1x_i+b2m_i+\epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i\sim N(0,\sigma_e^2)$. |
|---------|---|
| b2 | regression coefficient for the mediator m in the linear regression $y_i = b0 + b1x_i + b2m_i + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| sigma.e | standard deviation of the random error term in the linear regression $y_i = b0 + b1x_i + b2m_i + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$. |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| n.lower | lower bound for the sample size. |
| n.upper | upper bound for the sample size. |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing sample size; FALSE means not printing sample size. |

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2\neq 0$ for the linear regressions:

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above linear regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2 \neq 0$.

ssMediation.VSMc 49

The full model is

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

The reduced model is

$$y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

n sample size.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

minEffect.VSMc, powerMediation.VSMc

```
# example in section 3 (page 544) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009).
# n=863
ssMediation.VSMc(power = 0.80, b2 = 0.1, sigma.m = 1, sigma.e = 1,
    corr.xm = 0.3, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

50 ssMediation.VSMc.cox

ssMediation.VSMc.cox Sample size for testing mediation effect in cox regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate sample size for testing mediation effect in cox regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| power | power for testing $b_2=0$ for the cox regression $\log(\lambda)=\log(\lambda_0)+b1x_i+b2m_i$, where λ is the hazard function and λ_0 is the baseline hazard function. |
|---------|---|
| b2 | regression coefficient for the mediator m in the cox regression $\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b1x_i + b2m_i$, where λ is the hazard function and λ_0 is the baseline hazard function. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| psi | the probability that an observation is uncensored, so that the number of event $d=n*psi$, where n is the sample size. |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| n.lower | lower bound for the sample size. |
| n.upper | upper bound for the sample size. |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing sample size; FALSE means not printing sample size. |

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2\neq 0$ for the cox regressions:

$$\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b1x_i + b2m_i$$

ssMediation.VSMc.cox 51

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above cox regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2 \neq 0$.

The full model is

$$\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

The reduced model is

$$\log(\lambda) = \log(\lambda_0) + b_1 x_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

n sample size.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
minEffect.VSMc.cox, powerMediation.VSMc.cox
```

```
# example in section 6 (page 547) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009).
# n = 1399
ssMediation.VSMc.cox(power = 0.7999916, b2 = log(1.5),
    sigma.m = sqrt(0.25 * (1 - 0.25)), psi = 0.2, corr.xm = 0.3,
    alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

```
ssMediation.VSMc.logistic
```

Sample size for testing mediation effect in logistic regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate sample size for testing mediation effect in logistic regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| power | power for testing $b_2 = 0$ for the logistic regression $\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b0 + b1x_i + b2m_i$. |
|---------|---|
| b2 | regression coefficient for the mediator m in the logistic regression $\log(p_i/(1-p_i))=b0+b1x_i+b2m_i$. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| р | the marginal prevalence of the outcome. |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| n.lower | lower bound for the sample size. |
| n.upper | upper bound for the sample size. |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing sample size; FALSE means not printing sample size. |

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the logistic regressions:

$$\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above logistic regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2\neq 0$.

The full model is

$$\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

The reduced model is

$$\log(p_i/(1-p_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

n sample size.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
minEffect.VSMc.logistic, powerMediation.VSMc.logistic
```

```
# example in section 4 (page 545) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009).
# n=255

ssMediation.VSMc.logistic(power = 0.80, b2 = log(1.5), sigma.m = 1, p = 0.5,
    corr.xm = 0.5, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

ssMediation.VSMc.poisson

Sample size for testing mediation effect in poisson regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method

Description

Calculate sample size for testing mediation effect in poisson regression based on Vittinghoff, Sen and McCulloch's (2009) method.

Usage

Arguments

| power | power for testing $b_2=0$ for the poisson regression $\log(E(Y_i))=b0+b1x_i+b2m_i$. |
|---------|---|
| b2 | regression coefficient for the mediator m in the poisson regression $\log(E(Y_i))=b0+b1x_i+b2m_i$. |
| sigma.m | standard deviation of the mediator. |
| EY | the marginal mean of the outcome. |
| corr.xm | correlation between the predictor x and the mediator m . |
| n.lower | lower bound for the sample size. |
| n.upper | upper bound for the sample size. |
| alpha | type I error rate. |
| verbose | logical. TRUE means printing sample size; FALSE means not printing sample size. |

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $b_2 = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $b_2 \neq 0$ for the poisson regressions:

$$\log(E(Y_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) showed that for the above poisson regression, testing the mediation effect is equivalent to testing the null hypothesis $H_0: b_2=0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_a: b_2\neq 0$.

The full model is

$$\log(E(Y_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i + b_2 m_i$$

The reduced model is

$$\log(E(Y_i)) = b_0 + b_1 x_i$$

Vittinghoff et al. (2009) mentioned that if confounders need to be included in both the full and reduced models, the sample size/power calculation formula could be accommodated by redefining corr.xm as the multiple correlation of the mediator with the confounders as well as the predictor.

Value

n sample size.

res.uniroot results of optimization to find the optimal sample size.

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Vittinghoff, E. and Sen, S. and McCulloch, C.E.. Sample size calculations for evaluating mediation. *Statistics In Medicine*. 2009;28:541-557.

See Also

```
minEffect.VSMc.poisson, powerMediation.VSMc.poisson
```

```
# example in section 5 (page 546) of Vittinghoff et al. (2009). # n = 1239 ssMediation.VSMc.poisson(power = 0.7998578, b2 = log(1.35), sigma.m = log(1.25) * (1 - 0.25)), EY = 0.5, corr.xm = 0.5, alpha = 0.05, verbose = TRUE)
```

56 testMediation.Sobel

testMediation. Sobel P-value and confidence interval for testing mediation effect (Sobel's test)

Description

Calculate p-value and confidence interval for testing mediation effect based on Sobel's test.

Usage

```
testMediation.Sobel(theta.1.hat,
lambda.hat,
sigma.theta1,
sigma.lambda,
alpha = 0.05)
```

Arguments

| theta.1.hat | estimated regression coefficient for the predictor in the linear regression linking the predictor x to the mediator m ($m_i = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i + e_i, e_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$). |
|--------------|--|
| lambda.hat | estimated regression coefficient for the mediator in the linear regression linking the predictor x and the mediator m to the outcome y ($y_i = \gamma + \lambda m_i + \lambda_2 x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$). |
| sigma.theta1 | standard deviation of $\hat{\theta}_1$ in the linear regression linking the predictor x to the mediator m ($m_i = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i + e_i, e_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$). |
| sigma.lambda | standard deviation of $\hat{\lambda}$ in the linear regression linking the predictor x and the mediator m to the outcome y ($y_i = \gamma + \lambda m_i + \lambda_2 x_i + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$). |
| alpha | significance level of a test. |

Details

The test is for testing the null hypothesis $\theta_1 \lambda = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\theta_{1a} \lambda_a \neq 0$ for the linear regressions:

$$m_i = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i + e_i, e_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$
$$y_i = \gamma + \lambda m_i + \lambda_2 x_i + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$$

Test statistic is based on Sobel's (1982) test:

$$Z = \frac{\hat{\theta}_1 \hat{\lambda}}{\hat{\sigma}_{\theta_1 \lambda}}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{\theta_1\lambda}$ is the estimated standard deviation of the estimate $\hat{\theta}_1\hat{\lambda}$ using multivariate delta method:

$$\sigma_{\theta_1\lambda} = \sqrt{\theta_1^2 \sigma_\lambda^2 + \lambda^2 \sigma_{\theta_1}^2}$$

and $\hat{\sigma}_{\theta_1}$ is the estimated standard deviation of the estimate $\hat{\theta}_1$, and $\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}$ is the estimated standard deviation of the estimate $\hat{\lambda}$.

testMediation.Sobel 57

Value

| pval | p-value for testing the null hypothesis $\theta_1 \lambda = 0$ versus the alternative hypothesis $\theta_{1a} \lambda_a \neq 0$. |
|--------|---|
| CI.low | Lower bound of the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the parameter $\theta_1\lambda$. |
| CI.upp | Upper bound of the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the parameter $\theta_1\lambda$. |

Note

The test is a two-sided test. For one-sided tests, please double the significance level. For example, you can set alpha=0.10 to obtain one-sided test at 5% significance level.

Author(s)

Weiliang Qiu <stwxq@channing.harvard.edu>

References

Sobel, M. E. Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociological Methodology*. 1982;13:290-312.

See Also

```
powerMediation.Sobel, ssMediation.Sobel
```

```
testMediation.Sobel(theta.1.hat=0.1701, lambda.hat=0.1998,
    sigma.theta1=0.01, sigma.lambda=0.02, alpha=0.05)
```

Index

| * method | minEffect.VSMc.poisson, 9, 31, 55 | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| powerInteract2by2, 13 | | | |
| powerPoisson, 32 | power.SLR, <i>3</i> , 11, <i>13</i> , <i>37</i> , <i>38</i> | | |
| sizePoisson, 34 | power.SLR.rho, <i>3</i> , <i>12</i> , 12, <i>37</i> , <i>38</i> | | |
| * test | powerInteract2by2, 13 | | |
| minEffect.SLR, 2 | powerLogisticBin, 15, 16, 39 | | |
| minEffect.VSMc,4 | powerLogisticCon, 17, 41 | | |
| <pre>minEffect.VSMc.cox, 5</pre> | powerLong, 18, 22, 42, 45 | | |
| <pre>minEffect.VSMc.logistic,7</pre> | powerLong.multiTime, 20,44 | | |
| <pre>minEffect.VSMc.poisson, 9</pre> | powerLongFull, <i>19</i> , 21, <i>42</i> , <i>45</i> | | |
| power.SLR, 11 | powerMediation.Sobel, 23, 47, 57 | | |
| power.SLR.rho, 12 | powerMediation.VSMc, 5 , 25 , 49 | | |
| powerLogisticBin, 15 | powerMediation.VSMc.cox, 7, 26, 51 | | |
| powerLogisticCon, 17 | powerMediation.VSMc.logistic, $9, 28, 53$ | | |
| powerLong, 18 | powerMediation.VSMc.poisson, $10, 30, 55$ | | |
| <pre>powerLong.multiTime, 20</pre> | powerPoisson, 32, 35 | | |
| powerLongFull, 21 | | | |
| powerMediation.Sobel, 23 | sizePoisson, 33, 34 | | |
| powerMediation.VSMc, 25 | ss. SLR, 3, 12, 13, 36, 38 | | |
| powerMediation.VSMc.cox, 26 | ss. SLR. rho, 3, 12, 13, 37, 37 | | |
| powerMediation.VSMc.logistic, 28 | SSizeLogisticBin, 38 | | |
| powerMediation.VSMc.poisson, 30 | SSizeLogisticCon, 18, 40 | | |
| ss.SLR, 36 | ssLong, 19, 22, 41, 45 | | |
| ss.SLR.rho, 37 | ssLong.multiTime, 21, 43 | | |
| SSizeLogisticBin, 38 | ssLongFull, 19, 22, 42, 44 | | |
| SSizeLogisticCon, 40 | ssMediation.Sobel, 24, 46, 57 | | |
| ssLong, 41 | ssMediation.VSMc, 5, 26, 48 | | |
| ssLong.multiTime, 43 | ssMediation.VSMc.cox, 7, 28, 50 | | |
| ssLongFull, 44 | ssMediation.VSMc.logistic, 9, 30, 52 | | |
| ssMediation.Sobel,46 | ssMediation.VSMc.poisson, $10, 31, 54$ | | |
| ssMediation.VSMc,48 | testMediation.Sobel, 24, 47, 56 | | |
| ssMediation.VSMc.cox, 50 | testhediation. 300e1, 24, 47, 30 | | |
| ssMediation.VSMc.logistic,52 | | | |
| ssMediation.VSMc.poisson, 54 | | | |
| testMediation.Sobel, 56 | | | |
| minEffect.SLR, 2, 12, 13, 37, 38 | | | |
| minEffect.VSMc, 4, 26, 49 | | | |
| minEffect.VSMc.cox, 5, 28, 51 | | | |
| minEffect.VSMc.logistic, 7, 30, 53 | | | |
| | | | |